Faculty Senate Executive Committee (FSEC) Meeting Minutes  
Date: January 28, 2011

Members present: Jerry Saviano (HCC Chair), Ron Pine (System Chair), Erika Lacro (Vice Chancellor of Academic Affairs for Mike Rota), SSEC Liaison Jonathan Wong, Howard Kam (Student Representative), Shioko Yonezawa, Evelyn Greene, Steven Chu, Vern Takebayashi, Karen Hastings, Wayne Sunahara, Jean Maslowski, Judy Sokei.

Guests:  
Simeon Kekumu, Eric Shaffer, Rosemary Sumajit, Sam Rhoads, Alapaki Luke, Kimo Keaulana, Kauulani Murphy, Hoku Akiu, Leon Florendo, Brannon Kamahanu Kealoha, Puaolena Reis, Melissa Tupa, Nam Le, Kristin Sao, Ina Miller-Cabasug, Makana, Pat Patterson, Kauulani Akamine, Jolene Suda, Kara Kam-Kalani, Cyndi Uyehara [meeting notes recorder]  

Meeting convened at 9:00am in Apprenticeship Building 4, Room 18.

The FSEC Charter was passed out to FSEC members.

December 2010 Minutes Approved  
Jean moved, Steve second  
Jerry thanked Judy for the minutes.

Tri-semester Calendar (15 or 14 weeks)  
Evelyn - moved to not endorse the tri-semester calendar  
Ron - second  
Discussion:

Ron – In the beginning he was in favor. Summer tuition is higher so classes get canceled. This could increase graduation, but there are many issues for Tech programs with a Tri-semester calendar. Ron has tried to educate UH Manoa on the Tech issues and will put the issues in writing using what Evelyn has posted.总统Greenwood has said other colleges have tried, but not many have been able to implement.

If the motion passes Ron agreed to write up a summary of the HCC position, but he will have to step down as FSEC system chair. He announced he has been offered a part-time position in the Vice Chancellor of Research office to work on ethical compliance issues. He will resign as FSEC system chair after this meeting.

Jerry - A replacement for Ron is needed. Jerry asked if the System chair has to travel to neighbor islands, Ron replied they are using Polycom. Ron will provide ACCFSC system meeting dates.

Vote - All are in favor to not endorse the Tri-semester calendar.

Follow-up: A new FSEC System Chair needs to be appointed. Ron will give Jerry the ACCFSC meeting dates.
**Articulation Language**
Ron - Motion to accept articulation language  
Steve - Second  
Discussion:  
Ron - Manoa faculty would like same courses to have same alphas and numbers, same SLOs, and content. A Manoa professor asked Ron for his syllabus and indicated that there may be a possibility he could not accept Ron’s course for articulation. President Greenwood wants UH to be the best system in the country. Professor John Craven in UH-Manoa Arts and Science said he did not want to accept watered down courses from the Community Colleges.  
Jerry - The articulation language is encouraging, but past behavior by Manoa, particularly the College of Arts and Sciences, suggests they aren’t above playing games with language in order to make life difficult for CC students.  
Ron - This is a good deal for the Community Colleges, because Manoa professors cannot micro manage articulation.  
Sam – If they look at content & SLOs will everyone have to use same textbooks? Saying content can be dangerous.  
Ron – The key is SLOs.  
**Follow-up:** Precise language will be brought to the next FSEC meeting for a formal vote.

**Google Mail**
Resolution to move to accept Gmail,  
Ron - to accept moving UH mail to Google mail  
Jerry - second  
Discussion:  
Vern – In moving to Google mail HonCC e-mail addresses will remain the same, difference is Gmail uses labels instead of folders. We need to plan for a smooth transition so people feel better about this transition and develop workshops for transition.  
Rose – We are still in beta testing. UH has not committed to move yet although many have moved already. Google has many collaboration tools. If FSEC endorses the migration this will help with the transition. In a related matter Rose distributed a white paper on student computer lab to be discussed at the next FSEC meeting.  
Ron - Had reservations then a representative from Google came and explained the value, and addressed the security issue. When asked that Google could data mine. The representative replied they are honest (implying they would not do that).  
Kara – Asked what happens if Gmail goes down? Who is responsible? How stable is Google?  
Rose – Google is more stable than HonCC ITC because Google is world wide and a large organization. Google is automatically updated.  
Kara – If I have a problem who do I contact? IT here?  
Rose – UH will support officially, HonCC will support it on campus.  
Sam – What happens to HonCC e-mail?  
Rose – HonCC email will be forwarded. ITC will help users move current folders to Gmail labels.
Ron - David Lassner will not put more funding into UH mail.

**Vote** - All in favor, FSEC will endorse Google mail

**Follow-up:** Student Computer Lab and Technical Desktop Support [white paper distributed by Rose] to be put on agenda for next meeting.

**Cap size for classes and BOR policy**

Ron – There is new language determining cap size. This is a curriculum issue, administrators cannot make arbitrary changes. Changes must be made through CPC.

Erika – What is current policy?

Ron - BOR says nothing, faculty governs size and admin can change.

Jerry – CPC is a sub committee of FSEC.

Sam - What is language?

Ron - Not available for this meeting.

**No motion on floor, so no vote**

**Follow-up:** For next meeting, bring language change.

**Reorganization and FSEC motion**

Ron - sent a motion over e-mail.

Judy - second motion over email.

Discussion:

Jerry - Is not comfortable with motions over e-mail, we have enough time at the meetings and we can be collegial in discussing matters.

Ron - In FSEC last year Erika brought to our attention that Construction Academy does not have representation and there is a need for a reorganization for the HonCC campus. A division has representation in FSEC. A primary mission is to get as many Hawaiian students in and increase graduation rates. Manoa has a consulting Hawaiian group.

Sam - FSEC can propose reorganization, but cannot reorganize.

Ron – It is only a recommendation to the administration. The FSEC is supposed to make recommendations to the administration on academic matters. The motion is essentially to vote on whether it is a good idea to have a Hawaiian programs and a Construction Academy division which would then give both representation on the CLT, the FSEC, and PC.

Jerry – I attended reorganization talks, and there is a problem with FSEC proposing divisions in that the FSEC should allow the divisions to have the greater voice rather than the FSEC.

Erika – Reorganization meetings have come to several plans, but we don’t know where MELE will go yet. There are no specific recommendations.

Ron – We don’t decide, we recommend. Do we think it is important to give the programs representation?

Jerry – I am not opposed, but this motion is premature.

Vern – Ron is making an attempt to move discussion forward, the motion has more than one part. We may agree to one part, but not the other. The parts may not fit together.
Ron – I put this in writing so people can see it. If people are not in agreement they can oppose or amend. Question: should these programs get representation on FSEC, do you think this is a good idea?

Vern – I agree in principle.

Erika – Construction Academy has 25 faculty and 3 staff members. There is a reorganization idea to realign transportation and trades, not a separate division for Construction Academy.

Jerry - Summarizing from Ron’s e-mail – some people may not be willing to speak out because of some fear of political reprisal. Which would be what exactly?

Ron – Groups need academic representation. Why doesn’t Construction Academy have a representative?

Alapaki - Kupa Ka Wai is not all people of Hawaiian descent. Nobody said Construction Academy is not more important than Hawaiians or Hawaiian are more important than Construction Academy. Make sure we are clear.

Judy – Are minutes for Kupa Ka Wai posted?

Alapaki – There is an issue with getting the Charter posted and so notes have not yet been posted.

Ron – Is this a good idea to have a Hawaiian Studies and a Construction Academy division? Can’t Judy and I amend our motion to simply ask a sense of the FSEC, if we agree or not that it is a good idea for a Hawaiian Programs division?

Jerry—It is possible for someone to think Hawaiian studies and the Construction Academy both deserve to be separate divisions, but feeling this way doesn’t mean someone has to vote for your motion, Ron. And you can’t simply keep amending and amending your motion right here in order that people are forced into a certain position.

**Vote:**

Vern – Move to table this motion to the next meeting.

Jerry - Second to table motion

Motion to table issue - 5 votes

Opposed to table the motion - 2 votes.

Motion to table this issue is 5 to 2.

Ron – Will not be at the next meeting.

Jerry - I will bring the issue to the floor.

Sam – There should be Construction Academy representation here.

**Follow-up:** Bring this issue to the next meeting.

**Kupu Ka Wai’s motion**

Jerry – moves to motion

Vern - second

Alapaki – There was a motion at Kupu Ka Wai’s November 2010 meeting to ask for representation on FSEC. There were concerns from Ron which were addressed by Kupu Ka Wai.

Evelyn - Asked for clarification on Kupu Ka Wai and where it is on the organizational chart, she could not find it on internet.

Alapaki – There is representation at the system level for each campus. Kupu Ka Wai is the HonCC organization. Kupu Ka Wai includes members from several HonCC
Hawaiian programs. Members do not have to be Hawaiian or work with Hawaiian programs. We are asking that there be a formal voice representing Hawaiian Programs, someone to speak for Hawaiian Programs. Ron claimed that he is this voice on the Planning Council who speaks for Hawaiian Programs. But this past summer when the chancellor wanted to move us into a space that was too small for our needs, we negotiated diplomatically for a bigger space.

Ron – You should have ripped his heart out.

Alapaki – Ethics, Ron, ethics. I don’t want to rip anybody’s heart out.

Leon – Kupu Ka Wai is an advisory group for the Hawaiian Studies and other Hawaiian programs. Funding came from Title 3. It is an advisory group to the Chancellor. It is fairly new. Members can be faculty, staff, or students. A mission statement was created and sent to the Chancellor and the Charter has been accepted by the BOR.

Ron – You folks were screwed and Mike did not follow his own policies of consultation and participatory governance. My point in all this is that Hawaiian programs are very important, but they are not more important than our tech programs.

Jonathan Wong – Kupu Ka Wai is a peer governing body to ASUH and FSEC and membership is open to anyone interested in Hawaiian education.

Ron – Manoa’s faculty senate does not have membership of their Hawaiian advisory group. The group has a relationship with the Chancellor and reports to President Greenwood. Ron presented a memo stating that Mike fully intends to treat Kupu Ka Wai as an equal governing body as FSEC. It is ethics. It is inappropriate for FSEC to sit on Kupu Ka Wai or Kupu Ka Wai to sit on FSEC, which deals with academics and faculty issues. FSEC is academic issues, staff has it’s own council, Kupu Ka Wai has a direct relationship with the Chancellor. A better way to achieve representation for Hawaiian Programs is from a Division of Hawaiian Programs with an academic focus. KKW’s focus is broader and they already have a direct consultation relationship with the chancellor.

Alapaki – Judy, do you vote on the KKW Council?
	Judy – yes.

Alapaki – Judy, do you vote on the FSEC?
	Judy – yes.

Alapaki – Then you are in violation of the appropriate ethics you and Ron are protesting.

Sam – The motion adds a voice to FSEC.

Vern – While on the Planning Council, I encouraged adding voting members and so participation would increase. FSEC benefits faculty to have a Kupu Ka Wai member lets us know what they think.

Ron – The Planning Council should have the Kupu Ka Wai voice, but FSEC is academic. The motion to support a Hawaiian Programs Division also included a non-voting liaison membership for Kupa Ka Wai, the same as we have now for the ssec.

Jerry- FSEC is an important governing body and Kupu Ka Wai wants a voice to participate in curriculum and faculty issues.

Karen – Expressed concerned over statement, everyone can join, so Kupu Ka Wai is more than an academic unit.
Jolene Suda (Pride Project) We have academic objectives. There are faculty members. What makes not having Kupu Ka Wai on FSEC the right way?
Ron – Karen gave answer, should be academic.
Jolene – We address academic issues.
Ron – Kupu Ka Wai issues are not just academic, it is bigger.
Judy - We should have a non-voting Kupu Ka Wai member on FSEC, Kupu Ka Wai should have the opportunity to know campus wide issues. FSEC represents all faculty. Any faculty can come to FSEC.
Jerry – We are concerned about the voice of this program.
Alapaki - Alapaki was asked for his Hawaiian expertise (ancestral remains, taro planting) concerning the new building. FSEC should be asked about issues like the new building.
Kama Kealoha (student) – There are people more qualified and vested who would like to see their voices heard.
Ron – How many faculty are on Kupu Ka Wai?
Jolene - Six Kupu Ka Wai faculty are here at this meeting.

**Vote:**
Jerry move to vote,
In favor - 6
Not in favor - 4
Motion passed 6 to 4.
Sam – FSEC cannot modify its own charter.
Ka ‘iulani Akamine – Expressed she is glad HonCC is willing to set precedent for UH system.
Jerry – We will need a campus-wide referendum to amend the FSEC Charter.

**Follow-up:** Campus wide referendum to add a voting Kupu Ka Wai member to the HonCC FSEC.

**Erika – Chancellor Report**
Long Range Development Plan (LRDP) – The ten year plan is now online. It includes the new building.
Renovation for Bldg 7: Replace elevator tower, Spring 2012 from top down.
No news of the Sprint building, but we are still hopeful we will get that building.

Notes respectfully submitted by Cyndi Uyehara.